

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,352	01/28/2004	Bayard J. Osthaus	INFNP102US 3304	
29393 7	590 10/22/2004	EXAMINER		
	ER & ASSOCIATES, ITY BANK BUILDING	LAM, CATHY FONG FONG		
629 EUCLID AVE., SUITE 1210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEVELAND	, OH 44114		1775	

DATE MAILED: 10/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)
Office Astice O		0/766,352	OSTHAUS, BAYARD J.
Office Action S	<i>Eummary</i>	xaminer	Art Unit
		athy Lam	1775
The MAILING DATE o Period for Reply	f this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence address
If the period for reply specified above If NO period for reply is specified above Failure to reply within the set or extent	IIS COMMUNICATION. under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) g date of this communication. is less than thirty (30) days, a reply with ve, the maximum statutory period will ap ded period for reply will, by statute, caus than three months after the mailing date than three months after the mailing date.	In no event, however, may a reply be the statutory minimum of thirty (30) poply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS	ne timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication.
Status			
1) Responsive to commu	nication(s) filed on		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .		ion is non-final.	
			prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance v	with the practice under Ex p	arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11.	. 453 O.G. 213
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> is/are pe	ending in the application		
	(s) <u>45-52</u> is/are withdrawn fr	om consideration	
5) Claim(s) is/are a	allowed.	om consideration.	
6) Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-15,18,20</u>		-58 is/are rejected	
7) Claim(s) <u>7,16,17,19,22</u>	,30,39-42,44 and 59 is/are o	objected to	
8) Claim(s) are sub	oject to restriction and/or ele	ection requirement.	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is obje	ected to by the Examiner	•	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on	28 January 2004 is/are: a)[X accepted or b)□ object	ad to by the Evenines
Applicant may not request	that any objection to the draw	ing(s) be held in abeyance.	ed to by the Examiner.
Replacement drawing she	et(s) including the correction is	required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration	is objected to by the Examir	ner. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	and and and office of the	00 Action of 10111 F 10-152.
			·
12) Acknowledgment is mad	le of a claim for foreign prior	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ 1. ☐ Certified copies o			
2. ☐ Certified copies o	f the priority documents have	e been received.	
3.☐ Copies of the cert	f the priority documents have	e been received in Applica	ation No
application from the	tified copies of the priority d he International Bureau (PC	ocuments have been recei	ved in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed	Office action for a list of the	O Rule 17.2(a)).	
	omee dealer for a list of the	e ceraneu copies not recen	vea.
ttachment(s)		_	
) Motice of References Cited (PTO-89) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav	02) Wing Review (RTO 049)	4) Interview Summar	ry (PTO-413)
)	(PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office OL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action S	ilmmary	Part of Day, N. W.
,	00 //01/01/ 3	nary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20041015

Application/Control Number: 10/766,352

Art Unit: 1775

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-44 & 53-59, drawn to an electronic device, classified in class 361, subclass 774.

Page 2

II. Claims 45-52, drawn to a method of making an electronic device, classified in class 29, subclass 850+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a different process such mold a metal base such that it has a recessed (or channel) portion and a flange portion(s), apply adhesive onto the recessed portion of the metal base or onto the bottom of a ceramic circuit board, place the circuit board into the recess. The process as claimed can be used to make a different product such as a toy article.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Atty: Thomas Eschweiler on Oct. 13th 2004 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 1-44 & 53-59. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying

Application/Control Number: 10/766,352

Art Unit: 1775

to this Office action. Claims 45-52 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. Claims 53-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second & <u>sixth</u> paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant repeatedly used the term "means" is indefinite and unclear, "the base means", "the circuit board means" and "the flange means", etc. are bound by the disclosure in the specification. Applicant is suggest to delete the term "means".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-6, 8-15, 18, 20-21, 23-29, 31-38, 43, 53-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Natsuhara et al (US 5602720) in view of Gorczyca et al (US 5161093) or Cole, Jr. et al (US 5745984).

Natsuhara discloses a structure for mounting a semiconductor device comprised of a metallic base (3), a heat sink (2), a ceramic plate (1) and a semiconductor device (4) (Figs. 3A, 3B, 4A, 4B).

Application/Control Number: 10/766,352

Art Unit: 1775

The semiconductor device (4) is formed onto the ceramic plate (1) and the heat sink (2) may be formed below the ceramic plate (1) or onto the surface of the ceramic plate (Figs. 3B, 4A & col 4 L 2-3 & L 23-25).

The metallic base (3) has a recessed (or channel) portion where the ceramic plate (1) and the heat sink (2) reside (Figs. 3A, 3B, 4A, 4B). In one embodiment, the ceramic plate (1) and the heat sink (2) were placed within the recessed portion and that the total thickness after the ceramic plate placed within the recess does not extend above (or about the same) the base's flanges thickness (Figs. 4A & 4B).

The CTE of the metallic base (3) is greater than the CTE of the ceramic plate (col 2 L 26-28 & L 31-32).

Natsuhara teaches the present invention but is silent about having an adhesive layer used for bonding the ceramic plate (1) to the metallic base (3).

Both Gorczyca and Cole, jr. teach a structure comprised of a substrate with cavities (or recess), an electronic component (16&12) are placed within the cavities, the electronic components are bonded to the substrate via an adhesive (15&17), respectively.

Thus, in view of the prior art teachings, one skill in the art would choose an adhesive material (conductive or non-conductive) for bonding the ceramic PCB to the metallic base because it is conventional to use adhesive for bonding components together.

It would have been obvious to choose a desired copper alloy for the base substrate because it is a matter of design choice.

Art Unit: 1775

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 7, 16-17, 19, 22*, 30, 39-42, 44* and 59 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if incorporate into the base claims. Claims 22 & 44 are most preferred.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cathy Lam whose telephone number is (571) 272-1538. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (571) 272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

cetty fam

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1775

cfl October 14, 2004